

Appendix B: Summary of representations received

Four comments received have been received from for households, three object, and one neutral of the proposal as summarised below.

Objections:

The area acts as a vital green space to offset some of the pollution within this already heavily commercial area.

Valuable outside space for people working at the Business Park

No nearby alternative to use within the constraints of a working day.

The trees are a valuable asset in this area and need to be retained.

Pleasant site in an already seemingly overbuilt part of the town

Environmental and historical impact of the loss of this area would be detrimental

Noise from the market is misrepresented and therefore a risk that residents will be disturbed by existing noise.

Noise survey describes market as operation only two days a week from 08.30-1.30 the gates open at 6am and sales can finish at 6pm.

Some livestock arrives for markets the day before and some leaves the day after

There is a wash-down of both the market and vehicles all week in the daytime and evenings.

Vital that potential disturbance is recognised at its maximum at the beginning so that any development is designed and built appropriately.

Objection to vehicle and pedestrian access from cattle market access road due to large lorries moving at all times of the day and currently unused by Pera and not used in previous years

Would not contribute to the regeneration of the town.

Poor flow of traffic on Nottingham Road

Likely to cause businesses to relocate and, in some cases, out of the Melton area.

Neutral comment:

Recognise need for additional capacity for older peoples housing and need for PERA to maintain a profitable company.

Concern regarding the loss of important green space,

The space forms an important biodiversity corridor north/south and east/west. The proposal must ensure that improvements in biodiversity are incorporated into the plan.

The provision of a pond is welcome and should be an integral part of the SUDS scheme, including some wetland areas.

Mature trees should be retained, and parking could be reduced to maintain additional green space.

A biodiversity net gain should be sought as the site is part of an important biodiversity corridor.

The massing of the building is too imposing.

Concerns for overheating of the properties, as they face almost full west.

Design should be focused on addressing climate change.

Design of the entrance into PERA should be considered.

Road is difficult to cross and pavement outside is narrow

Entrance splay should be reduced to reduce traffic speed and pavements should be widened.

Welcome addition of renewable energy

Provision of electric vehicle charging should be considered in addition

Building should if possible, aim to be carbon neutral and reach specifications comparable to BREEAM